
MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IN NURSES: A SILENT CRISIS IN THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM

Ms. Sayali Mohan Kulkarni¹

1. Nursing Tutor, Bhonsala Institute of Nursing, Nashik, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Ms. Sayali Mohan Kulkarni

Nursing Tutor, Bhonsala Institute of Nursing, Nashik, Maharashtra, India

E-mail: - sayalikulkarni19922@gmail.com

Article Information:

Type of Article: Original Article

Received On: 04.10.2026

Accepted On: 14.10.2026

Published On: 24.10.2026

Abstract

Background: Nurses sustain essential preventive, curative, rehabilitative and community health services, yet their psychological well-being is frequently compromised by sustained workload, rotating shifts, emotional labour, violence, insufficient staffing and limited organizational support. Occupational stress and burnout coexist with symptoms of anxiety, depression, sleep disturbance, compassion fatigue and trauma-related distress. These conditions matter not only for individual well-being but also for workforce retention, continuity of care and patient safety. **Objective:** To synthesize the principal occupational mental health challenges described in nursing literature, examine relevant contextual influences in India and identify feasible individual, institutional and policy responses. **Methods:** This narrative review critically reorganized an author-supplied educational article on nurses' mental health and contextualized its themes using selected international research, conceptual publications and Indian policy documents. The review was not a systematic review or meta-analysis; no participant recruitment, primary data collection or pooled statistical estimation was undertaken. **Findings:** Eight overlapping concern areas were identified: occupational stress, burnout, anxiety, depression, compassion fatigue, post-traumatic stress symptoms, sleep disturbance and maladaptive coping. Contributing influences were classified as workplace, organizational and social determinants. Potential consequences extend across nurse functioning, patient care and health-system performance. A practical prevention framework combines safer staffing, predictable schedules, anti-violence procedures, confidential psychological support, supportive supervision, peer networks, resilience education and access to referral services. Psychiatric and mental health nurses have an important role in screening, referral, staff education and stigma reduction.

Interpretation: Estimates quoted in the study varied widely and lacked traceable study-level denominators; they should not be interpreted as pooled prevalence or India-specific estimates. Stronger local epidemiological studies and intervention evaluations are needed. **Conclusion:**

Protecting nurses' mental health requires system-level improvements alongside voluntary, confidential and accessible individual support. Mental health initiatives should be embedded in occupational safety, quality improvement and nursing leadership rather than treated as isolated wellness activities. This approach may support a sustainable nursing workforce and safer, more compassionate care.

Keywords: nurses; mental health; occupational stress; burnout; anxiety; depression; compassion fatigue; India

Introduction

Mental health is fundamental to effective nursing practice. Nurses provide continuous clinical observation, medication administration, therapeutic communication, emergency response, patient advocacy and family education. These responsibilities often occur in environments characterized by high acuity, unpredictable demands and repeated exposure to suffering. While the profession is defined by caring for others, nurses' own psychological needs may be overlooked or normalized as an unavoidable feature of clinical work. The World Health Organization describes mental health as an essential dimension of health and emphasizes the relationship between working conditions and psychological well-being [1,2].

Occupational stress develops when job demands are perceived to exceed available resources, control or support. For nurses, relevant demands include high patient loads, inadequate skill mix, frequent interruptions, complex documentation, emotionally difficult decisions and rotating or extended shifts. Repeated exposure can contribute to emotional exhaustion, impaired sleep, irritability and disengagement. Burnout is specifically recognized by the WHO in ICD-11 as an occupational phenomenon arising from chronic workplace stress that has not been successfully managed; it is not classified as a medical condition [3]. Distinguishing burnout from depressive or anxiety disorders is important because workplace reform and clinical assessment may both be required.

Psychological difficulties also have relational and ethical dimensions. Caring for critically ill patients, supporting grieving relatives and responding to violence may create compassion fatigue or trauma-related symptoms. Stigma, fear of professional judgment and concerns about confidentiality can discourage help-seeking. Nurses may continue working despite distress, potentially increasing presenteeism and undermining their recovery. Evidence from systematic reviews indicates meaningful burdens of burnout and depressive symptoms among nurses, although prevalence varies substantially by setting, measurement instrument and study design [4–6].

In India, the nursing workforce spans tertiary hospitals, district facilities, primary care, education and community settings. Variations in staffing, employment security, resources and institutional leadership may shape occupational exposure. Gendered caregiving expectations, commuting demands and social stigma can add pressures outside the workplace. This article particularly emphasized nurses in critical care and other high-intensity units, as well as the importance of psychiatric nursing, national mental health services and supportive organizational policies. Those priorities are retained in the present synthesis.

The purpose of this review is to organize these concerns into a clinically useful framework: identify the major manifestations of psychological distress, describe potential risk pathways, examine consequences for nurses and care delivery, and present prevention and management approaches that can be adapted by nursing administrators, educators and policymakers. Unlike an empirical study, this article does not test a hypothesis, recruit participants or claim intervention effectiveness. Its contribution is an integrated narrative interpretation intended to guide practice and future research.

Methodology

Design: A descriptive narrative review and scholarly restructuring of an existing educational manuscript. The article covered definitions, prevalence claims, eight mental health concerns, contextual factors in India, consequences, psychiatric nursing roles, national initiatives and preventive strategies.

Evidence approach: Selected authoritative and widely cited sources were used to contextualize the narrative, including WHO publications on mental health at work and burnout, peer-reviewed syntheses of nurse mental health and occupational outcomes, and Indian legislation or programme information. These sources support the broad interpretation of occupational risk and institutional responses.

Conceptual extraction: Content was grouped into five domains: (1) manifestations of mental health problems; (2) workplace, organizational and social determinants; (3) implications for individual nurses; (4) patient and health-system consequences; and (5) prevention, identification, treatment access and policy responses. Similar descriptions were consolidated while preserving clinically meaningful distinctions between burnout, psychiatric symptoms, trauma-related distress and coping behaviors. Statements implying causality were reframed as associations or plausible pathways unless supported by stronger evidence.

Data presentation: Findings are reported as thematic results rather than statistical results. Two summary tables organize clinical manifestations and multilevel interventions. Three figures reproduce the original visual materials or their content. The original graphic displaying occupational stress (60–80%), burnout (40–70%), anxiety/depression (25–45%) and sleep disturbance (approximately 50%) is retained for fidelity, but those figures were not linked to identifiable studies or a common population in the supplied document.

Ethical considerations: No human participants, patient records, interviews or identifiable clinical data were used. Institutional ethics approval was therefore not required for the present narrative synthesis. As a scholarly review, the manuscript should undergo routine authorship, citation and originality checks before submission.

Results:

The article identified eight related but distinct domains of occupational psychological difficulty. Stress was presented as the central response to high demands and constrained resources. Burnout was characterized by exhaustion, cynicism or detachment and reduced professional efficacy. Anxiety and depression involved persistent emotional and cognitive symptoms requiring assessment when clinically significant. Compassion fatigue and trauma-related symptoms were associated with repeated exposure to distressing events. Shift-related sleep disruption and potentially harmful coping behaviors could reinforce other difficulties.

Domain	Common manifestations	Relevant occupational exposures
Occupational stress	Tension, irritability, fatigue, reduced concentration	Workload, interruptions, insufficient staffing
Burnout	Exhaustion, cynicism, diminished professional efficacy	Persistent unmanaged workplace stress
Anxiety	Worry, autonomic	Clinical responsibility, error

	symptoms, difficulty concentrating	concerns, uncertainty
Depression	Low mood, loss of interest, impaired functioning	Chronic strain, harassment, limited support
Compassion fatigue	Emotional depletion, reduced empathic capacity	Repeated exposure to suffering and grief
Trauma-related distress	Intrusions, avoidance, hyperarousal	Violence, disasters, distressing deaths
Sleep disturbance	Insomnia, fatigue, circadian disruption	Night and rotating shifts
Maladaptive coping	Excess caffeine, substance use, self-medication	Unaddressed distress and limited access to care

Three sets of determinants emerged. Workplace factors included high patient loads, staff shortages, inadequate resources and exposure to aggression. Organizational factors included limited autonomy, inconsistent supervision, weak grievance mechanisms, insufficient confidential counseling and restricted professional development. Social influences included stigma, domestic caregiving responsibilities and discriminatory experiences.

Consequences were described at three levels. Nurses may experience physical symptoms, reduced job satisfaction, absenteeism, presenteeism and intentions to leave. For patients, communication, continuity and perceived care quality may suffer when staff are exhausted or unsupported. At the system level, turnover, recruitment costs and disruption of team functioning may follow. These associations are consistent with published work linking nurse burnout to patient safety and organizational outcomes, while recognizing that the magnitude and direction of effects differ across studies [7,8].

Level	Priority actions	Suggested indicators
Individual	Voluntary support, sleep hygiene, coping skills, timely referral	Help-seeking access, perceived support
Unit leadership	Fair rosters, protected breaks, respectful supervision	Overtime, missed breaks, staff feedback
Institution	Adequate staffing, violence prevention, confidential services	Incident reporting, turnover, sickness absence
Education	Mental health literacy, peer support, debriefing training	Training coverage, confidence to refer
Policy and quality	Integrate worker well-being into safety and quality plans	Periodic workforce surveys and action reviews

The original article highlighted a specific role for psychiatric nurses: facilitating psychoeducation, recognizing warning signs, leading supportive peer initiatives, making appropriate referrals and reducing stigma. It also identified India's National Mental Health Programme, District Mental Health Programme, Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 and Tele-MANAS as relevant frameworks or services. These mechanisms may improve access, but a general public mental health programme does not automatically substitute for confidential

employer-supported occupational services [9–11].

Figure 1. Reported mental health burden categories in the original manuscript (redrawn).

Occupational stress	Burnout syndrome	Anxiety depression	Sleep disturbances
60–80%	40–70%	25–45%	Approximately 50%

Figure 2. Major mental health problems in nurses

Psychological and emotional	Trauma and compassion	Sleep and coping
Occupational stress; burnout; anxiety; depression	Compassion fatigue; post-traumatic stress symptoms	Sleep disorders; substance use and maladaptive coping

Figure 3. Contributing factors in the Indian context

Workplace factors	Social factors	Organizational factors
Workforce shortage; heavy patient load; limited infrastructure	Domestic responsibilities; stigma; discrimination	Limited counseling; weak grievance systems; restricted career development

Discussion

The review positions nurses' mental health as a workforce and patient-safety issue rather than solely an individual resilience problem. Occupational demands, psychological symptoms and organizational responses form an interconnected system. The most important practical implication is that teaching relaxation or coping strategies cannot compensate for persistent understaffing, unsafe schedules, violence or poor leadership. WHO and ILO guidance recommends organizational interventions alongside manager training, worker awareness and access to mental health care [2,12].

Burnout deserves careful interpretation. Its occupational definition can support early workplace action, but it should not be used to dismiss depression, anxiety or trauma-related disorders. Nurses experiencing persistent symptoms, impaired functioning or safety concerns require confidential assessment by qualified professionals. Support programmes should avoid punitive screening and protect privacy. Mental health monitoring is most ethically defensible when voluntary, linked to accessible care and separated from employment evaluation.

The Indian context introduces practical opportunities. Nursing colleges can integrate mental health literacy, help-seeking and professional boundaries into undergraduate and continuing education. Hospitals can use routine workforce feedback, fair duty rosters, mentorship, reporting systems for violence and clearly advertised referral pathways. Psychiatric nurses may serve as educators and facilitators, but leadership accountability and adequate staffing remain essential. Tele-MANAS and related public services can complement, rather than replace, institution-specific arrangements.

The original manuscript's broad prevalence ranges should be treated cautiously. Differences in screening instruments, cut-off scores, pandemic periods, clinical departments and geographic settings can generate large variations. Without study-level citations, the ranges cannot establish

national prevalence. Future Indian research should use validated tools, transparent sampling, longitudinal designs and evaluations of multicomponent interventions, with outcomes including staff well-being, retention and patient safety. Overall, sustainable improvement depends on translating concern into measurable, resourced organizational action.

Conclusion

Nurses face overlapping occupational stress, burnout, anxiety, depression, compassion fatigue, trauma-related distress, sleep disruption and maladaptive coping risks. These concerns may affect professional well-being and the quality and continuity of care. A meaningful response requires safe work environments, supportive leadership, confidential mental health services, accessible referral pathways and educational preparation. Psychiatric nurses can contribute substantially to prevention and early identification. Future research should strengthen context-specific evidence and assess which interventions produce durable benefits for nurses and healthcare systems.

References

1. World Health Organization. World mental health report: transforming mental health for all. Geneva: WHO; 2022.
2. World Health Organization. Guidelines on mental health at work. Geneva: WHO; 2022.
3. World Health Organization. Burn-out an “occupational phenomenon”: International Classification of Diseases. Geneva: WHO; 2019.
4. Woo T, Ho R, Tang A, Tam W. Global prevalence of burnout symptoms among nurses: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2020;123:9-20.
5. Labrague LJ, McEnroe-Petitte DM, Leocadio MC, Van Bogaert P, Cummings GG. Stress and ways of coping among nurse managers: an integrative review. *J Clin Nurs*. 2018;27(7-8):1346-1359.
6. Pappa S, Ntella V, Giannakas T, Giannakoulis VG, Papoutsis E, Katsaounou P. Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and insomnia among healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020;88:901-907.
7. Hall LH, Johnson J, Watt I, Tsipa A, O'Connor DB. Healthcare staff wellbeing, burnout, and patient safety: a systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(7):e0159015.
8. Dall'Ora C, Ball J, Reinius M, Griffiths P. Burnout in nursing: a theoretical review. *Hum Resour Health*. 2020;18:41.
9. Government of India. Mental Healthcare Act, 2017. New Delhi: Ministry of Law and Justice; 2017.
10. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. National Mental Health Programme. New Delhi: MoHFW.
11. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. Tele-MANAS: National Tele Mental Health Programme. New Delhi: MoHFW.
12. World Health Organization, International Labour Organization. Mental health at work: policy brief. Geneva: WHO; 2022.
13. Maslach C, Leiter MP. Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*. 2016;15(2):103-111.
14. West CP, Dyrbye LN, Shanafelt TD. Physician burnout: contributors, consequences and solutions. *J Intern Med*. 2018;283(6):516-529.
15. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Healthcare workers: work stress and mental health. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.